


IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS



FRANK P. SLATTERY, JR., *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

THE UNITED STATES,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 93-280 C
(Senior Judge Smith)

**PLAINTIFFS' POST-TRIAL MEMORANDUM
IN SUPPORT OF THEIR CLAIMS FOR DAMAGES**

Thomas M. Buchanan
Winston & Strawn
1400 L Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 371-5787
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Of Counsel:
Eric W. Bloom
Peter Kryn Dykema
Winston & Strawn
1400 L Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
I. Plaintiffs Need Only Prove That The Amount of Damages Is Based on a “Reasoned Calculation” Rather Than “Mere Speculation”.....	1
II. Plaintiffs Have Satisfied Their Burden in Proving Restitution Damages.....	5
III. Plaintiffs Have Satisfied Their Burden in Proving Reliance Damages.	9
A. Plaintiffs' Cost Of Performance Damages Case Faithfully Adheres to The Guidance Given by the Court of Appeals in Winstar Cases.....	9
B. Mr. Brummett's Methodology is Sound and Was Applied Conservatively.....	11
C. Mr. Brummett's Analysis Easily Satisfies the Applicable Burden of Proof.	12
1. Plaintiff Only Needs to Establish a Reasonable Estimate of Damages.....	12
2. Uncertainty Caused By Defendant Cannot Defeat Plaintiff's Claims.	12
D. The Government's Criticisms of Mr. Brummett's Analysis Were Insubstantial....	13
1. The Government's Steadfast Avoidance of Any Meaningful Quantitative Analysis Resulted in a Complete Failure of Proof.....	14
2. The Government's Criticisms Were Conceptually Flawed.....	16
E. Dr. Goldstein's Analysis Shows That, But For The Breaches, Meritor Would Have Survived and Prospered.	18
IV. Plaintiffs Have Satisfied Their Burden in Proving Expectancy Damages.....	18
A. Dr. Finnerty's 1988 Expectation Damage Calculation Is Firmly Supported By The Record.....	18
B. Dr. Finnerty's 1992 Expectancy Damage Calculation Is Firmly Supported By The Record.....	20
V. Plaintiffs Have Satisfied Their Burden in Proving "Wounded Bank" Damages	22
VI. CONCLUSION.....	26

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

FEDERAL CASES

<i>999 v. C.I.T. Corp.</i> , 776 F.2d 866 (9th Cir. 1985).....	6
<i>Am. Auto. Ass'n (Inc.) v. AAA Legal Clinic of Jefferson Crooke, P.C.</i> , 930 F.2d 1117 (5th Cir. 1991).....	6
<i>American Line Builders. Inc. v. United States</i> , 26 Cl. Ct. 1155 (1992).....	3
<i>Azure v. United States</i> , 129 F.3d 1361, 997 U.S. App. LEXIS 29365 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....	2, 3
<i>Cal. Fed. Bank, F.S.B. v. United States ("CalFed")</i> , 245 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	5
<i>DPJ Co. v. FDIC</i> , 30 F.3d 247 (1st Cir. 1994).....	15
<i>Fifth Third Bank of W. Ohio v. United States</i> , 55 Fed. Cl. 223, 28	2
<i>Glendale Fed. Bank, FSB v. United States</i> , 43 Fed. Cl. 390 (1999).....	5, 6
<i>Glendale Federal Bank, FSB v. United States.</i> , 54 Fed. Cl. 8 (2002)	10
<i>Glendale Fed. Bank, FSB v. United States</i> , 239 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	5, 9, 9-16
<i>Guyana Tel. & Tel. Co., Ltd. v. Melbourne Int'l Communications, Ltd.</i> , 329 F.3d 1241 (11th Cir. 2003).....	13
<i>Home Savings of Am., FSB v. United States</i> , 2003 WL 22357732 (Fed. Cl. 2003)	13
<i>J. Truett Payne Co. v. Chrysler Motors Corp.</i> , 451 U.S. 557 (1981).....	1, 13
<i>L. Albert & Son v. Armstrong Rubber Co.</i> , 178 F.2d 182 (2d Cir. 1949).....	16-17
<i>LaSalle Talman Bank, FSB v. United States</i> , 45 Fed. Cl. 64 (1999)	5
<i>LaSalle Talman Bank, F.S.B. v. United States</i> , 317 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	5, 10, 13
<i>Locke v. United States</i> , 283 F.2d 521 (Ct. Cl. 1960)	1, 13
<i>McNeil v. AT&T Universal Card</i> , 192 F.R.D. 492 (E.D. Pa. 2000)	6
<i>Nintendo of Am., Inc. v. Lewis Galoob Toys, Inc.</i> , 16 F.3d 1032 (9th Cir. 1994)	12
<i>Palmer v. Connecticut R. & Lighting Co.</i> , 311 U.S. 544 (1941).....	1
<i>Story Parchment Co. v. Peterson Parchment Paper Co.</i> , 282 U.S. 555 (1931).....	1, 13
<i>S.W. Electronics & Mfg. Corp. v. United States</i> , 655 F.2d 1078 (Ct. Cl. 1981).....	2

<i>Sensonic, Inc. v. Aerosonic Corp.</i> , 81 F.3d 1566 (Fed. Cir. 1996)	14
<i>Slattery v. United States</i> , 53 Fed. Cl. 258 (2002)	9, 17, 22, 21
<i>T. Rowe Price Small-Cap. Fund, Inc. v. Oppenheimer and Co., Inc.</i> , 174 F.R.D. 38 (S.D.N.Y. 1997)	6
<i>Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. United States</i> , 33 Fed. Cl. 233 (1995).....	2, 13-14
<i>Westfed Holdings, Inc. v. United States</i> , 52 Fed. Cl. 135 (2002)	6
<i>Westfed Holdings, Inc. v. United States</i> , 55 Fed. Cl. 544 (2003)	6, 15, 16

FEDERAL STATUTES

12 U.S.C. § 1823(c) (1950).....	8
---------------------------------	---

MISCELLANEOUS

Farnsworth, § 12.16 at 835 nn.1, 5 & 9	15
McCormick, <i>Handbook on the Law of Damages</i> § 7 (1935).....	2, 14
<i>Restatement (Second) of Contracts</i> § 349 (1981)	16
<i>Restatement (Second) of Contracts</i> § 349 cmt. a, illus. 2-4 (1981)	15

